# The Complete genome sequence of *Methanobrevibacter sp.* AbM4

S. C. Leahy<sup>1,2</sup>, W. J. Kelly<sup>2</sup>, D. Li<sup>2</sup>, Y. Li<sup>1,2</sup>, E. Altermann<sup>2</sup>, S. C. Lambie<sup>2</sup>, F. Cox<sup>2</sup> and G. T. Attwood<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>New Zealand Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Research Centre
<sup>2</sup>Rumen Microbiology, Animal Nutrition and Health, AgResearch Limited, Grasslands Research Centre, New Zealand.

Corresponding author: graeme.attwood@agresearch.co.nz

Keywords: Methanogen, methane, ruminant, Methanobrevibacter

Methanobrevibacter sp. AbM4 was originally isolated from the abomasal contents of a sheep and was chosen as a representative of the *Methanobrevibacter wolinii* clade for genome sequencing. The AbM4 genome is smaller than that of the rumen methanogen *M. ruminantium* M1 (2.0 Mb versus 2.93 Mb), encodes fewer open reading frames (ORFs) (1,671 versus 2,217) and has a lower G+C percentage (29% versus 33%). Overall, the composition of the AbM4 genome is very similar to that of M1 suggesting that the methanogenesis pathway and central metabolism of these strains are highly similar, and both organisms are likely to be amenable to inhibition by small molecule inhibitors and vaccine-based methane mitigation technologies targeting these conserved features. The main differences compared to M1 are that AbM4 has a complete coenzyme M biosynthesis pathway and does not contain a prophage or nonribosomal peptide synthase genes. However, AbM4 has a large CRISPR region and several type I and type II restriction-modification system components. Unusually, DNA-directed RNA polymerase  $\beta'$  and  $\beta''$  subunits of AbM4 are joined, a feature only previously observed in some thermophilic archaea. AbM4 has a much reduced complement of genes encoding adhesin-like proteins which suggests it occupies a ruminal niche different from that of M1.

## Introduction

Methane formed in the ruminant fore-stomach (reticulo-rumen) is a significant source of greenhouse gas emissions for countries that are reliant on ruminant-based agriculture. Methane is an end product of plant digestion in the reticulo-rumen, and is formed by methanogens belonging to the Euryarchaeota subgroup of the Archaea. Molecular surveys of ruminants have shown that small subunit ribosomal RNA gene sequences affiliated with species of the genus Methanobrevibacter predominate in most rumen microbiomes, on average making up almost two thirds of the rumen archaea [1]. Sequences are mainly associated with M. gottschalkii (33.6%) and M. ruminantium (27.3%), but also with *M. wolinii* (0.1%), *M. smithii* (0.1%) and other Methanobrevibacter spp. (0.5%). Development of mitigation strategies to reduce methane emissions from farmed animals is currently the subject of both scientific and environmental interest. Methanogens are producers of ruminant methane, therefore methane abatement strategies can either target the methanogens themselves or

target the other members of the rumen microbial community that produce substrates necessary for methanogenesis. Genome sequencing has improved our knowledge of the processes that methanogens contribute to rumen function and is already providing information directly applicable to methane mitigation strategies based on vaccine and small-molecule inhibitor approaches [2,3]. Mitigation technologies for methane emissions from ruminants should target features that are conserved across all rumen methanogens, and be specific for methanogens so that the remaining rumen microbes can continue their normal digestive functions. Targeting ruminal methanogens using vaccine and small molecule inhibitor approaches needs to take into account the phylogenetic diversity covering the different groups of methanogens within the rumen and capture the inter-species diversity within a genus. Our group is sequencing the genomes of cultured representatives of rumen methanogens [4] to define their conserved features as targets and to understand

their role in the ruminant environment for the purpose of developing methane mitigation technologies. Here, we report the complete genome sequence of *Methanobrevibacter sp.* AbM4.

## **Classification and features**

Methanobrevibacter sp. AbM4 was isolated and purified from the abomasum of a sheep maintained as part of a study into effects of the nematode Ostertagia circumcincta on the abomasal environment [5] (Keith Joblin, personal communication). AbM4 is a member of the methanogenic archaea. It is a strict anaerobe and its hydrogenotrophic metabolism is characterized by its ability to produce methane from hydrogen, carbon dioxide and formate. A phylogenetic analysis of the AbM4 small subunit ribosomal RNA (ssrRNA) gene sequence places it closest to Methanobrevibacter wolinii and the sequence is approximately 95% similar to the *M*. wolinii type strain SH [Figure 1]. Although an ovine abomasal isolate, ssrRNA gene sequences identical, or with >97% similarity to that of AbM4 have also been reported among methanogen sequences derived from rumen contents of both sheep and cattle [8-10]. Searches of the Genbank and the Ribosomal Database Project databases also show sequences >97% similar to AbM4 occur in vak (Genbank accession JF807172), in sheep in Venezuela [11] and Western Australia [12], in alpacas [13] and Jersey dairy cows farmed in the USA [14], as well as in the feces of manatee in Florida, USA (Genbank accession HQ599703, HQ599742). The cellular morphology of AbM4 was determined by electron microscopy (Fig. 2). For this, AbM4 cells were grown on RM02 medium [2] and were negatively stained with 1% phosphotungstic acid, mounted on Formvar-coated copper grids. Grids were examined using a Philips model 201C electron microscope. AbM4 is a short rod and is not motile [Figure 2].

### Genome sequencing and annotation Genome project history

*Methanobrevibacter sp.* AbM4 was selected for genome sequencing on the basis of its phylogenetic position as a representative of organisms whose nearest relative is *M. wolinii*. AbM4 was isolated from a sample of sheep abomasal contents, whereas the type strain of *M. wolinii* SH was isolated from enrichment cultures of sheep feces [15]. AbM4 grows readily in broth cultures making it amenable to experimentation in the laboratory. A summary of

the genome project information is shown in Tables 1 and 2.

### Growth conditions and DNA isolation

AbM4 was grown in BY medium [26] with added SL10 Trace Elements solution (1 ml added l<sup>-1</sup>) [27], selenite/tungstate solution (final conc. of selenite and tungstate are 3 and 4  $\mu$ g l<sup>-1</sup> respectively) [28]: and Vitamin 10 solution (0.1 ml added to 10 ml culture before inoculation) [2]. H<sub>2</sub> was supplied as the energy source by pumping the culture vessels to 180 kPa over pressure with an 80:20 mixture of H<sub>2</sub>:CO<sub>2</sub>. Genomic DNA was extracted from freshly grown cells using a modified version of a liquid N<sub>2</sub> and grinding method [29]. Briefly, AbM4 cultures were harvested by centrifugation at  $20,000 \times g$  for 20 min at 4 °C and cell pellets combined into 40 ml Oakridge centrifuge tubes and frozen at -80 °C. The frozen cell pellets were placed in a sterile, precooled (-85 °C) mortar and ground to a powder with periodic addition of liquid N<sub>2</sub>. Buffer B1 (5 ml Qiagen Genomic-Tip 500 Maxi kit, Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) containing RNase (2 µg ml-1 final concentration) was added to the powdered cell pellet to create a slurry which was then removed. An additional 6 ml of B1 buffer was used to rinse the remaining material from the mortar and pestle and combined with the cell slurry, which was then treated following the Qiagen Genomic-Tip 500/G Maxi kit instructions. Finally, the genomic DNA was precipitated by addition of 0.7 vol isopropanol, and collected by centrifugation at  $12,000 \times q$  for 10 min at room temperature and re-dissolved in TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA pH 7.5).

#### Genome sequencing and assembly

The complete genome sequence of AbM4 was determined using pyrosequencing of 3kb mate pairedend sequence libraries using a 454 GS FLX platform with titanium chemistry (Macrogen, Korea). Pyrosequencing reads provided 234× coverage of the genome and were assembled using the Newbler assembler version 2.7 (Roche 454 Life Sciences, USA). The Newbler assembly resulted in 30 contigs across 4 scaffolds. Gap closure was managed using the Staden package [30] and gaps were closed using additional Sanger sequencing by standard and inverse PCR based techniques. A total of 80 additional reactions were necessary to close gaps, to improve the genome sequence quality and to ensure correct assembly and to resolve any remaining baseconflicts. Assembly validation was confirmed by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (Figure 3) [2].



0.01

Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree showing the position of Methanobrevibacter strain AbM4 relative to type strains of other Methanobrevibacter species. The strains and their corresponding GenBank accession numbers for 16S rRNA genes are (type=<sup>T</sup>): Methanobrevibacter gottschalkii HO<sup>T</sup>, (U55238); Methanobrevibacter thaueri CW<sup>T</sup>, (U55236.1); Methanobrevibacter millerae ZA-10<sup>T</sup>, (AY196673); Methanobrevibacter smithii PS<sup>T</sup>, (U55233); Methanobrevibacter woesei GS<sup>T</sup>, (U55237); Methanobrevibacter wolinii SH<sup>T</sup>, (U55240); Methanobrevibacter sp. AbM4, (AJ550156); Methanobrevibacter olleyae KM1H5-1P<sup>T</sup>, (AY65201); Methanobrevibacter ruminantium M1<sup>T</sup>, (AY196666);  $\mathsf{RFM-2}^{\mathsf{T}}$ ,  $\mathsf{RFM-3}^{\mathsf{T}}$ , Methanobrevibacter curvatus (U62533); Methanobrevibacter filiformis (U82322);*Methanobrevibacter cuticularis* **RFM-1**<sup>T</sup>, (U41095); Methanobrevibacter arboriphilus DH-1<sup>T</sup>, (AY19665); Methanobrevibacter acididurans ATM<sup>T</sup>, (AF242652) and Methanobacterium formicicum DSMZ1535<sup>T</sup>, (AF169245). The tree is based on these sequences aligned by the RDP aligner, and uses the Jukes-Cantor corrected distance model to construct a distance matrix based on alignment model positions without the use of alignment inserts, and uses a minimum comparable position of 200. The tree is built with RDP Tree Builder, which uses Weighbor [6] with an alphabet size of 4 and length size of 1,000. The building of the tree also involves a bootstrapping process repeated 100 times to generate a majority consensus tree [7]. Methanobacterium formicicum was used as the outgroup.

MIGS ID	Property	Term	Evidence code <sup>a</sup>
		Domain Archaea	TAS [16]
		Phylum Euryarchaeota	TAS [17]
		Class Methanobacteria	TAS [18,19]
	Current classification	Order Methanobacteriales	TAS [20-22]
		Family Methanobacteriaceae	TAS [23,24]
		Genus Methanobrevibacter	TAS [20,21]
		Species Methanobrevibacter sp. Strain AbM4	IDA
	Gram stain	Positive	IDA
	Cell shape	Short rod	IDA
	Motility	No	IDA
	Sporulation	No	IDA
	Temperature range	37-39 °C	NAS
	Optimum temperature	38 °C	NAS
	Carbon source	Acetate	IDA
	Energy source	$H_2 + CO_2$ , formate	IDA
	Terminal electron receptor	CO <sub>2</sub>	IDA
MIGS-6	Habitat	Ovine abomasum, ovine and bovine rumen	IDA
MIGS-6.3	Salinity	Not reported	
MIGS-22	Oxygen	Strict anaerobe	IDA
MIGS-15	Biotic relationship	Symbiont of ruminants	NAS
MIGS-14	Pathogenicity	Not known as a pathogen	NAS
MIGS-4	Geographic location	Palmerston North, New Zealand	IDA
MIGS-5	Sample collection time	Not reported	
MIGS-4.1	Latitude	Latitude: -40.35 (40°21'00"S)	IDA
MIGS-4.2	Longitude	Longitude: +175.61 (175°36'36"E)	IDA
MIGS-4.3	Depth	Not reported	
MIGS-4.4	Altitude	30 m	

a) Evidence codes – TAS: Traceable Author Statement; IDA: Inferred from Direct Assay; NAS: Non-traceable Author Statement (i.e., not directly observed for the living, isolated sample, but based on a generally accepted property for the species, or anecdotal evidence) [25].



**Figure 2**. Transmission electron microscopy of negatively stained *Methanobrevibacter sp*. AbM4 cells.

Table 2. Pro	ject information
--------------	------------------

MIGS ID	Property	Term
MIGS-31	Finishing quality	High-quality, closed genome
MIGS-28	Libraries used	454 CS ELV, titanium chamistry, Macrogan
MIGS-29	Sequencing platforms	454 GS FLX, utanium chemistry, Macrogen
MIGS-31.2	Fold coverage	234×
MIGS-30	Assemblers	Newbler
MIGS-32	Gene calling method	Glimmer and BLASTX
	Genome Database release	On publication
	Cenbank ID	CP004050
	Conbank Data of Poloasa	On publication
		PRJNA157813
	BioProject ID	Ruminant methane emissions
	Project relevance	



**Figure 3**. Pulsed field gel electrophoresis of *Methanobrevibacter sp.* AbM4 genomic DNA digested with restriction endonucleases (A) and *in silico* restriction enzyme maps (B). Panel A: Lane 1, undigested genomic DNA; Lane 2, digested with *Apal*; Lane 3, digested with *Mlul*; Lane 4, concatenated lambda marker (fragment sizes, from bottom in Kb, are 48.5, 97, 145.5, 194, 242.5, 291, 339.5, 388 and 436.5). Panel B: Maps of *Apal* and *Mlul* restriction endonuclease cleavage sites within the AbM4 genome.

#### Genome annotation

A GAMOLA/ARTEMIS [31,32] software suite was used to manage genome annotation. Proteinencoding open reading frames (ORFs) were identified using the ORF-prediction program Glimmer [33] and BLASTX [34,35]. A manual inspection was performed to verify or, if necessary, redefine the start and stop codons of each ORF. Assignment of protein function to ORFs was performed manually using results from the following sources; BLASTP [34] to both a non-redundant protein database provided by the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) [36] and clusters of orthologous groups (COG) database [37]. HMMER [38] was used to identify protein motifs to both the PFAM [39] and TIGRFAM [40] librar-TMHMM [41,42] was used to predict ies. transmembrane sequences, and SignalP, version

4.1 [43] was used for the prediction of signal peptides. Ribosomal RNA genes were detected on the basis of BLASTN searches to a custom GAMOLA ribosomal database. Transfer RNA genes were identified using tRNAscan-SE [44]. Miscellaneouscoding RNAs were identified using the Rfam database [45] utilizing the INFERNAL software package [46]. The AbM4 genome sequence was prepared for NCBI submission using Sequin [47]. The adenine residue of the start codon of the Cdc6-1 replication initiation protein (Abm4\_0001) gene was chosen as the first base for the AbM4 genome. The nucleotide sequence of the Methanobrevibacter sp. AbM4 chromosome has been deposited in Genbank under accession number CP004050.

## **Genome properties**

The genome of *Methanobrevibacter sp.* AbM4 consists of a single 1,998,189 bp circular chromosome with an average G+C content of 29%. A total of 1,730 genes were predicted, 1,671 of which were protein-coding genes. A putative function was assigned to 1,258 of the protein-coding genes, while the remaining protein coding genes were annotated as hypothetical proteins. The properties and statistics of the genome are summarized in Tables 3 and 4, and are compared with genomes of other sequenced gut methanogens for the order *Methanobacteriales* in Table 5.

Comparative analysis of the orfeomes of the rumen methanogen genome sequences, AbM4, Methanobrevibacter ruminantium M1 and the draft genome sequence of *Methanobrevibacter sp.* JHI [48], reveal that their gene content is largely comparable, particularly AbM4 and IHI (Figure 4). This suggests that the central metabolism and the methanogenesis of these strains pathway are similar. *Methanobrevibacter sp.* AbM4 is a hydrogenotrophic methanogen and the genes involved in the methanogenesis pathway, and associated functions are shown in Figure 5. The presence or absence of these genes is indicated within complete genomes of gut methanogens of the order Methanobacteriales. The methane formation pathway in AbM4 is very similar to that of M1 and each of the 7 enzymatic steps expected for the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> (or formate) through to methane using H<sub>2</sub> is present. AbM4 and M1 are distinguished from the human gut methanogens, Methanobrevibacter smithii PS [49] and Methanosphaera stadtmanae MCB-3 [50], by the absence of the methanol:cobalamin methyltransferase genes (*mta*ABC) which mediate methanol utilization in these organisms. Hydrogenotrophic methanogens generally encode a methyl coenzyme reductase II (mcrII or mrt), an isoenzyme of the methyl CoM reductase I (mcrI) enzyme which is differentially regulated during growth [52] to mediate methane formation at high partial pressures of H<sub>2</sub>. Like M1, AbM4 contains only the McrI system for the final methyl-CoM reduction step in methanogenesis. PS contains both the McrI and II systems whereas MCB-3 contains only the McrII system. In the rumen, methanogens depend on fermentative microbes to supply H<sub>2</sub>, usually at very low concentrations, and AbM4 and M1 appear to have adapted their lifestyle for growth at low levels of H<sub>2</sub> using the McrI system only. Comparable with M1, AbM4 contains several genes (two NADPH-dependent F<sub>420</sub> dehydrogenase genes and three alcohol dehydrogenase genes) which may support growth on alcohols such as methanol and ethanol [2,53].

Genes unique to AbM4 as compared with other rumen methanogens (Figure. 4) and with an annotated function are enriched for type I and type II restriction-modification systems (Table 6). Compared to M1, AbM4 does not harbor a prophage but does contain a large (~16 kb) CRISPR region (sequence coordinates 134,105-150,352 bp). AbM4 has a greatly reduced complement of predicted adhesin-like proteins when compared to M1 (29 versus 105) and it lacks non-ribosomal peptide synthase genes that have been observed in M1. Although, AbM4 does not encode genes for biotin biosynthesis, it does contain a BioY transporter which would likely allow biotin uptake from the rumen environment. Unlike M1, AbM4 does not require exogenous coenzyme M for growth as it contains the full complement of CoM biosynthesis genes. A complete set of cobalamin biosynthesis genes are also present, although they are scattered throughout the genome, rather than being clustered together as in M1. Unusually, the DNAdirected RNA polymerase B' and B" subunits of AbM4 are joined, a feature only previously observed in some thermophilic Archaea [54].

## Insights from the Genome Sequence

Overall, the genome of Methanobrevibacter sp. AbM4 is comparable to that of *M. ruminantium* M1 suggesting that the hydrogenotrophic, methane-forming metabolism of these rumen methanogens is highly analogous. The differences observed between AbM4 and M1 in the abundance of adhesin-like proteins indicates AbM4 invests less of its genetic resources on external interactions with its environment. The broader repertoire of cofactor and coenzyme biosynthetic genes of AbM4 also indicates that it is likely to be less dependent on other rumen microbes for the supply of cofactors for growth and survival in the rumen. These features suggest that AbM4 occupies a ruminal niche slightly different from that of M1. Although AbM4 does not constitute large methanogen populations, it is widely distributed in ruminant species under different rumen and gut conditions. The conserved nature of the AbM4 genes encoding these methanogenesis functions, as well as those encoding other potential targets for methane mitigation, indicates that AbM4 will be amenable to inhibition by small molecule inhibitors and vaccinebased methane mitigation technologies targeting these genes.

Table 3. Genome Statistics		
Attribute	Value	% of total
Genome size (bp)	1,998,189	100.00
DNA coding region (bp)	1,514,751	75.80
DNA G+C content (bp)	580,246	29.03
Number of replicons	1	
Total genes	1,730	100.00
RNA genes	45	2.60
rRNA operons	3	
tRNA genes	36	2.08
Protein-coding genes	1,671	96.58
Pseudogenes	14	0.80
Genes assigned to COGs	1,258	75.28
Genes with signal peptides	52	3.11
Genes with transmembrane helices	360	21.54

a) The total is based on either the size of the genome in base pairs or the total number of genes or protein-coding genes in the annotated genome.

Code	Value	%age	Descriptor
J	144	8.62	Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis
А	0	0.00	RNA processing and modification
К	72	4.31	Transcription
L	84	5.03	Replication, recombination and repair
В	3	0.18	Chromatin structure and dynamics
D	6	0.36	Cell cycle control, cell division, chromosome partitioning
Y	-	-	Nuclear structure
V	23	1.38	Defense mechanisms
Т	9	0.54	Signal transduction mechanisms
М	59	3.53	Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis
Ν	4	0.24	Cell motility
Z	-	-	Cytoskeleton
W	-	-	Extracellular structures
U	11	0.66	Intracellular trafficking, secretion, and vesicular transport
О	38	2.27	Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones
С	140	8.38	Energy production and conversion
G	38	2.27	Carbohydrate transport and metabolism
E	117	7.00	Amino acid transport and metabolism
F	46	2.75	Nucleotide transport and metabolism
Н	82	4.91	Coenzyme transport and metabolism
I	17	1.02	Lipid transport and metabolism
Р	58	3.47	Inorganic ion transport and metabolism
Q	9	0.54	Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism
R	184	11.01	General function prediction only
S	114	6.82	Function unknown
Not defined	413	24.72	No COG category assigned

Table 4. Assignment of AbM4 protein coding genes to COG functional categories

Species	Isolation source	Substrates	Genome size	Accession #	CDS	%GC	Reference
Methanobrevibacter sp. AbM4	Ovine abomasum	H <sub>2</sub> + CO <sub>2</sub> , CHOOH	2.0	CP004050	1,671	29	This report
Methanobrevibacter sp. JH1	Bovine rumen	H <sub>2</sub> + CO <sub>2</sub> , CHOOH	~2.06	BAGX02000 001-54	1,786	28	[48]
Methanobrevibacter ruminantium M1	Bovine rumen	H <sub>2</sub> + CO <sub>2</sub> , CHOOH	2.93	NC_013790	2,217	33	[2]
Methanobrevibacter smithii <b>PS</b>	Sewage digester	H <sub>2</sub> + CO <sub>2</sub> , CHOOH	1.85	NC_009515	1,795	31	[49]
Methanosphaera stadtmanae MCB-3	Human feces	H <sub>2</sub> + CH <sub>3</sub> OH	1.77	NC_007681	1,534	28	[50]



**Figure 4.** Venn diagram displaying gene conservation between the orfeome of *Methanobrevibacter ruminantium* M1, *Methanobrevibacter sp.* JHI, and *Methanobrevibacter sp.* AbM4. Analysis performed using GAMOLA [31] and OrthoMCL, Version 1.4 [51]. Numbers within circles refer to numbers of ORFs shared; numbers in brackets refer to total number of open reading frames predicted for a genome.



**Figure 5.** Comparison of predicted coding DNA sequence (CDS) content for methanogenesis associated functions from completed methanogene genomes found in mammalian gut environments. CDSs are arranged as methanogenesis-associated (A), methanogenesis (B) or membrane proteins (C). Genomes from *Methanobrevibacter sp.* AbM4, *M. ruminantium* M1 (NC\_013790), *M. smithii* PS (NC\_009515) and *Methanosphaera stadtmanae* MCB-3 (NC\_007681) were used in the comparison. A white square indicates an absence, and a black square indicates a presence of the predicted protein listed at the left of each panel in the genome of the methanogen listed at the top of each panel.

Locus tag	Annotation
Abm4_0075	type I restriction-modification system M subunit HsdM1
Abm4_0077	type I restriction-modification system R subunit HsdR1
Abm4_0076	type I restriction-modification system S subunit HsdS1
Abm4_1018	type II DNA modification methylase
Abm4_0097	type II restriction endonuclease
Abm4_1017	type II restriction endonuclease
Abm4_0084	type III restriction protein restriction subunit

## Acknowledgements

The AbM4 genome sequencing project was funded by the New Zealand Agricultural Greenhouse Gas Research Centre as part of the Methane Objective 1.3: Genomic identification of universal targets for methanogen inhibition. *Methanobrevibacter sp.* AbM4 cultures were

## References

- Janssen PH, Kirs M. Structure of the archaeal community of the rumen. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2008; **74**:3619-3625. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02812-07</u>
- Leahy SC, Kelly WJ, Altermann E, Ronimus RS, Yeoman CJ, Pacheco DM, Li D, Kong Z, McTavish S, Sang C, et al. The genome sequence of the rumen methanogen *Methanobrevibacter ruminantium* reveals new possibilities for controlling ruminant methane emissions. *PLoS ONE* 2010; 5:e8926. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0008926</u>
- 3. Wedlock N, Janssen PH, Leahy S, Shu D, Buddle BM. Progress in the development of vaccines against rumen methanogens. *Animal* 2013; Suppl 2:244-252. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1751731113000682
- 4. Leahy SC, Kelly WJ, Ronimus RS, Wedlock N, Altermann E, Attwood GT. Genome sequencing of rumen bacteria and archaea and its application to methane mitigation strategies. *Animal* 2013; Suppl 2:235-243.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S1751731113000700

- Simcock DC, Joblin KN, Scott I, Burgess DM, Rogers CW, Pomroy WE, Simpson HV. Hypergastrinaemia, abomasal bacterial population densities and pH in sheep infected with Ostertagia circumcincta. Int J Parasitol 1999; 29:1053-1063. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0020-7519(99)00065-X</u>
- Bruno WJ, Socci ND, Halpern AL. Weighted Neighbor Joining: a likelihood-based approach to distance-based phylogeny reconstruction. *Mol Biol Evol* 2000; **17**:189-197. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a</u> <u>026231</u>
- Cole JR, Chai B, Farris RJ, Wang Q, Kulam-Syed-Mohideen AS, McGarrell DM, Bandela AM, Cardenas E, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM. The ribosomal database project (RDP-II): introducing myRDP space and quality controlled public data. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2007; 35:D169-D172. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl889</u>

made available for genome sequencing by the New Zealand Pastoral Greenhouse Gas Research Consortium. Electron microscopy was conducted with the assistance of the Manawatu Microscopy and Imaging Centre at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

- Yu Z, Garcia-Gonzalez R, Schanbacher F, Morrison M. Evaluations of different hypervariable regions of archaeal 16S rRNA genes in profiling of methanogens by archaea-specific PCR and denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2008; **74**:889-893. <u>PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00684-07</u>
- Zhou M, Hernandez-Sanabria E, Guan LL. Assessment of the microbial ecology of ruminal methanogens in cattle with different feed efficiencies. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2009; **75**:6524-6533. <u>PubMed</u> http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02815-08
- Zhou M, Hernandez-Sanabria E, Guan Le L. Characterization of variation in rumen methanogenic communities under different dietary and host feed efficiency conditions, as determined by PCR-denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis analysis. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2010; **76**:3776-3786. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00010-10</u>
- 11. Wright ADG, Ma X, Obispo NE. *Methanobrevibacter* phylotypes are the dominant methanogens in sheep from Venezuela. *Microb Ecol* 2008; **56**:390-394. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00248-007-9351-x</u>
- 12. Williams YJ, Popovski S, Rea SM, Skillman LC, Toovey AF, Northwood KS, Wright ADG. A vaccine against rumen methanogens can alter the composition of archaeal populations. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2009; **75**:1860-1866. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02453-08</u>
- St-Pierre B, Wright AD. Molecular analysis of methanogenic archeaa in the forestomach of the alpaca (*Vicugna pacos*). *BMC Microbiol* 2012; 12:1-12. <u>PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-12-1</u>
- King EE, Smith RP, St-Pierre B, Wright AD. Differences in rumen methanogen populations of lactating Jersey and Holstein dairy cows under the same diet regimen. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2011; 77:5682-5687. <u>PubMed</u> http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/AEM.05130-11

#### Methanobrevibacter sp. AbM4

- Miller TL, Lin C. Description of Methanobrevibacter gottschalkii sp. nov., Methanobrevibacter thaueri sp. nov., Methanobrevibacter woesei sp. nov. and Methanobrevibacter wolinii sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2002; 52:819-822. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02022-0
- Woese CR, Kandler O, Wheelis ML. Towards a natural system of organisms: proposal for the domains Archaea, Bacteria, and Eucarya. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1990; 87:4576-4579. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.87.12.4576</u>
- 17. Garrity GM, Holt JG. Phylum All. *Euryarchaeota* phy. nov. In: Garrity GM, Boone DR, Castenholz RW (eds), Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, Second Edition, Volume 1, Springer, New York, 2001, p. 211-355.
- Validation List no. 85. Validation of publication of new names and new combinations previously effectively published outside the IJSEM. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2002; **52**:685-690. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.02358-0</u>
- 19. Boone DR. Class I. *Methanobacteria* class. nov. In: Garrity GM, Boone DR, Castenholz RW (eds), Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, Second Edition, Volume 1, Springer, New York, 2001, p. 213.
- 20. List Editor. Validation List no. 6. Validation of the publication of new names and new combinations previously effectively published outside the IJSB. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1981; **31**:215-218. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00207713-31-2-215
- 21. Balch WE, Fox GE, Magrum LJ, Woese CR, Wolfe RS. Methanogens: reevaluation of a unique biological group. *Microbiol Rev* 1979; **43**:260-296. <u>PubMed</u>
- 22. Judicial Commission of the International Committee on Systematics of Prokaryotes. The nomenclatural types of the orders Acholeplasmatales, Halanaerobiales, Halobacteriales, Methanobacteriales, Methanococcales, Methanomicrobiales, Planctomycetales, Prochlorales, Sulfolobales, Thermococcales, Thermoproteales and Verrucomicrobiales are the genera Acholeplasma, Halanaerobium, Halobacterium, Methanobacterium, Methanococcus, Methanomicrobium, Planctomyces, Prochloron, Sulfolobus, Thermococcus, Thermoproteus and Verrucomicrobium, respectively. Opinion 79. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2005; 55:517-518. PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.63548-0

- 23. Skerman VBD, McGowan V, Sneath PHA. Approved Lists of Bacterial Names. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1980; **30**:225-420. http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00207713-30-1-225
- 24. Barker HA. Bacterial Fermentations, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1956, p. 15.
- 25. Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP, Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, *et al*. Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Nat Genet* 2000; **25**:25-29. <u>PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/75556</u>
- Joblin KN, Naylor GE, Williams AG. Effect of Methanobrevibacter smithii on xylanolytic activity of anaerobic ruminal fungi. Appl Environ Microbiol 1990; 56:2287-2295. PubMed
- 27. Widdel F, Kohring G, Mayer F. Studies on dissimilatory sulfate-reducing bacteria that decompose fatty acids III. Characterization of the filamentous gliding *Desulfonema limicola* gen. nov. sp. nov., and *Desulfonema magnum* sp. nov. *Arch Microbiol* 1983; **134**:286-294. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00407804
- 28. Tschech A, Pfennig N. Growth yield increase linked to caffeate reduction in *Acetobacterium* woodii. Arch Microbiol 1984; **137**:163-167. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00414460
- 29. Jarrell KF, Faguy D, Herbert AM, Kalmakoff ML. A general method of isolating high molecular weight DNA from methanogenic archaea (archaebacteria). *Can J Microbiol* 1992; **38**:65-68. <u>PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1139/m92-010</u>
- 30. Staden R, Beal KF, Bonfield JK. The Staden package, 1998. *Methods Mol Biol* 2000; **132**:115-130. <u>PubMed</u>
- 31. Altermann E, Klaenhammer T. GAMOLA: a new local solution for sequence annotation and analyzing draft and finished prokaryotic genomes. *OMICS* 2003; 7:161-169. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/153623103322246557</u>
- Rutherford K, Parkhill J, Crook J, Horsnell T, Rice P, Ranjandream MA, Barrell B. Artemis: sequence visualization and annotation. *Bioinformatics* 2000; 16:944-945. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/16.10.94</u> <u>4</u>
- Delcher AL, Harmon D, Kasif S, White O, Salzberg S. Improved microbial gene identification with GLIMMER. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1999; 27:4636-4641. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/27.23.4636</u>

- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers E, Lipman D. Basic local alignment search tool. *J Mol Biol* 1990; 215:403-410. <u>PubMed</u>
- 35. Gish W, States D. Identification of protein coding regions by database similarity search. *Nat Genet* 1993; **3**:266-272. <u>PubMed</u> http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng0393-266
- 36. NCBI Resource Coordinators. Database resources of the National Center for Biotechnology Information. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013; **41**:D8-D20. <u>PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1189</u>
- 37. Tatusov RL, Galperin M, Natale D, Koonin E. The COG database: a tool for genome-scale analysis of protein functions and evolution. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2000; **28**:33-36. <u>PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.1.33</u>
- Eddy SR. Profile hidden Markov models. *Bioin*formatics 1998; **14**:755-763. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/14.9.755</u>
- Punta M, Coggill PC, Eberhardt RY, Mistry J, Tate J, Boursnell C, Pang N, Forslund K, Ceric G, Clements J, et al. The Pfam protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res 2012; 40:D290-D301. <u>PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr1065</u>
- 40. Haft DH, Selengut JD, Richter RA, Harkins D, Basu MK, Beck E. TIGRFAMs and Genome Properties in 2013. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013; **41**:D387-D395. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1234</u>
- 41. Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer E. Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. *J Mol Biol* 2001; **305**:567-580. <u>PubMed</u> http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.4315
- 42. http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services /TMHMM/
- 43. Petersen TN, Brunak S, von Heijne G, Nielsen H. SignalP 4.0: discriminating signal peptides from transmembrane regions. *Nat Methods* 2011;
  8:785-786. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1701</u>
- Lowe TM, Eddy S. tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. *Nucleic Acids Res* 1997; 25:955-964. <u>PubMed</u>
- 45. Burge SW, Daub J, Eberhardt R, Tate J, Barquist L, Nawrocki EP, Eddy SR, Gardner PP, Bateman A. Rfam 11.0: 10 years of RNA families. *Nucleic Ac*-

*ids Res* 2013; **41**:D226-D232. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1005</u>

- 46. Eddy SR. A memory-efficient dynamic programming algorithm for optimal alignment of a sequence to an RNA secondary structure. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2002; **3**:18. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-3-18</u>
- 47. Benson DA, Cavanaugh M, Clark K, Karsch-Mizrachi I, Lipman DJ, Ostell J, Sayers EW. GenBank. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013; **41**:D36-D42. <u>PubMed http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1195</u>
- 48. Lee JH, Rhee MS, Kumar S, Lee GH, Chang DH, Kim DS, Choi SH, Lee DW, Yoon MH, Kim BC. Genome sequence of *Methanobrevibacter sp.* strain JH1, isolated from rumen of Korean native cattle. *Genome Announc* 2013; **1**:e00002e00013. <u>PubMed</u>
- 49. Samuel BS, Hansen E, Manchester J, Coutinho P, Henrissat B, Fulton R, Latreille P, Kim K, Wilson RK, Gordon JI. Genomic and metabolic adaptations of *Methanobrevibacter smithii* to the human gut. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2007; **104**:10643-10648. <u>PubMed</u> http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0704189104
- 50. Fricke WF, Seedorf H, Henne A, Kruer M, Liesegang H, Hedderich R, Gottschalk G, Thauer RK. The genome sequence of *Methanosphaera stadtmanae* reveals why this human intestinal archaeon is restricted to methanol and H<sub>2</sub> for methane formation and ATP synthesis. *J Bacteriol* 2006; **188**:642-658. <u>PubMed</u> http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JB.188.2.642-658.2006
- Li L, Stoeckert CJ, Jr., Roos DS. OrthoMCL: identification of ortholog groups for eukaryotic genomes. *Genome Res* 2003; **13**:2178-2189. <u>Pub-Med http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.1224503</u>
- 52. Reeve JN, Nolling J, Morgan RM, Smith DR. Methanogenesis: genes, genomes, and who's on first? J Bacteriol 1997; **179**:5975-5986. PubMed
- Berk H, Thauer RK. Function of coenzyme F<sub>420</sub>dependent NADP reductase in methanogenic archaea containing an NADP-dependent alcohol dehydrogenase. *Arch Microbiol* 1997; **168**:396-402. <u>PubMed</u> http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s002030050514
- 54. Brochier C, Forterre P, Gribaldo S. Archaeal phylogeny based on proteins of the transcription and translation: tackling the *Methanopyrus kandleri* paradox. *Genome Biol* 2004; **5**:R17. <u>PubMed</u> <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/gb-2004-5-3-r17</u>