Complete genome sequence of *Spirochaeta smaragdinae* type strain (SEBR 4228^T)

Konstantinos Mavromatis¹, Montri Yasawong², Olga Chertkov^{1,3}, Alla Lapidus¹, Susan Lucas¹, Matt Nolan¹, Tijana Glavina Del Rio¹, Hope Tice¹, Jan-Fang Cheng¹, Sam Pitluck¹, Konstantinos Liolios¹, Natalia Ivanova¹, Roxanne Tapia^{1,3}, Cliff Han^{1,3}, David Bruce^{1,3}, Lynne Goodwin^{1,3}, Amrita Pati¹, Ami Chen⁴, Krishna Palaniappan⁴, Miriam Land^{1,5}, Loren Hauser^{1,5}, Yun-Juan Chang^{1,5}, Cynthia D. Jeffries^{1,5}, John C. Detter^{1,3}, Manfred Rohde², Evelyne Brambilla⁶, Stefan Spring⁶, Markus Göker⁶, Johannes Sikorski⁶, Tanja Woyke¹, James Bristow¹, Jonathan A. Eisen^{1,7}, Victor Markowitz⁴, Philip Hugenholtz¹, Hans-Peter Klenk⁶, and Nikos C. Kyrpides^{1*}

- ¹ DOE Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, California, USA
- ² HZI Helmholtz Centre for Infection Research, Braunschweig, Germany
- ³ Los Alamos National Laboratory, Bioscience Division, Los Alamos, New Mexico, USA
- ⁴ Biological Data Management and Technology Center, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California, USA
- ⁵ Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA
- ⁶ DSMZ German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures GmbH, Braunschweig, Germany
- ⁷ University of California Davis Genome Center, Davis, California, USA

*Corresponding author: Nikos C. Kyrpides

Keywords: spiral shaped, corkscrew-like motility, chemoorganotroph, strictly anaerobe, obligately halophile, rhodanese-like protein, *Spirochaetaceae*, GEBA

Spirochaeta smaragdinae Magot *et al.* 1998 belongs to the family *Spirochaetaceae*. The species is Gram-negative, motile, obligately halophilic and strictly anaerobic and is of interest because it is able to ferment numerous polysaccharides. *S. smaragdinae* is the only species of the family *Spirochaetaceae* known to reduce thiosulfate or element sulfur to sulfide. This is the first complete genome sequence in the family *Spirochaetaceae*. The 4,653,970 bp long genome with its 4,363 protein-coding and 57 RNA genes is a part of the *Genomic Encyclopedia of Bacteria and Archaea* project.

Introduction

Strain SEBR 4228^T (= DSM 11293 = JCM 15392) is the type strain of the species *Spirochaeta smaragdinae*. Currently, there are eighteen species [1] and two subspecies in the genus *Spirochaeta* [1,2]. The generic name derives from the Greek word *'speira'* meaning 'a coil' and the Greek word *'chaitê'* meaning 'hair', referring to the spiral shape of bacterial cell. The species epithet is derived from the Latin word *'smaragdinae'* meaning 'from Emerald', referring to the name Emerald of an oil field in Congo. Strain SEBR 4228^T was isolated from an oil-injection production water sample of a Congo offshore oilfield [3] and described in 1997 by Magot *et al.* as *'Spirochaeta smaragdi-* *nae*' [3]. Here we present a summary classification and a set of features for *S. smaragdinae* SEBR 4228^T, together with the description of the complete genomic sequencing and annotation.

Classification and features

Strain SEBR 4228^T shares 82.2-99.0% 16S rRNA gene sequence identity with the type strains from the other members of genus *Spirochaeta* [4], with the type strain of *S. bajacaliforniensis* [5], isolated from a mud sample in Laguna Figueroa (Baja California, Mexico) showing the highest degree of sequence similarity (99%). Notwithstanding the high degree of 16S rRNA gene sequence identity,

these two strains are characterized by low genomic similarity (38%) in DNA-DNA hybridization studies and differ by numerous differences in carbon source utilization [3]. Several type strains from the genus Treponema show the highest degree of similarity for non-Spirochaeta strains (82.9-83.6%) [4]. A representative genomic 16S rRNA sequence of strain SEBR 4228^T was compared using BLAST with the most recent release of the Greengenes database [6] and the relative frequencies of taxa and keywords, weighted by BLAST scores, were determined. The three most frequent genera were *Spirochaeta* (76.4%), 'Sphaerochaeta' (15.8%) and Cytophaga (7.8%). Within the five most frequent keywords in the labels of environmental samples were 'microbial' (11.7%), 'mat' (10.5%), 'hypersaline' (7.7%), and 'sediment' (1.7%). The environmental samples database (env_nt) contains the marine metagenome genomic clone 1061006082084 (EK988302) that is 92% identical to the 16S rRNA gene sequence of SEBR 4228^T. No phylotypes from genomic surveys could be linked to the species *S. smaragdinae* or even the genus *Spirochaeta*, indicating a rather rare occurrence of these in the habitats screened so far (as of August 2010).

Figure 1 shows the phylogenetic neighborhood of *S. smaragdinae* SEBR 4228^{T} in a 16S rRNA based tree. The sequences of the two 16S rRNA gene copies differ from each other by up to one nucleotide, and differ by up to five nucleotides from the previously published 16S rRNA sequence generated from DSM 11293 (U80597), which contains two ambiguous base calls.



Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree highlighting the position of *S. smaragdinae* SEBR 4228^T relative to the type strains of the other species within the genus and of the other genera within the genus *Spirochaeta*. The tree was inferred from 1,385 aligned characters [7,8] of the 16S rRNA gene sequence under the maximum likelihood criterion [9] and rooted in accordance with the current taxonomy [10]. The branches are scaled in terms of the expected number of substitutions per site. Numbers above branches are support values from 500 bootstrap replicates [11] if larger than 60%. Lineages with type strain genome sequencing projects registered in GOLD [12] are shown in blue, published genomes in bold.

Strain SEBR 4228^T is a Gram-negative, chemoorganotrophic and strictly anaerobic bacterium with spiral shaped, $0.3-0.5 \times 5-30 \mu m \log cells$ (Figure 2 and Table 1). It possesses a multilayer, crenulating, Gram-negative cell envelope, which consists of an outer membrane and an inner membrane adjoining the cytoplasmic membrane [3]. Sillons, which are the contact point between the protoplasmic cylinder, the inner membrane and the outer membrane, are also observed from the cells of *S. smaragdinae* SEBR 4228^T [3]. Strain SEBR 4228^T forms translucent colonies with regular edges (0.5

mm of diameter) after two weeks of incubation on SEM agar plates at 37°C [3]. The strain is motile with a corkscrew-like motion, which is characteristic for the typical 1-2-1 periplasmic flagellar arrangement of the members of the genus *Spirochaeta* [3]. The periplasmic, non-extracellular location of the flagella make the *Spirochaeta* a valuable candidate for the study of flagella evolution [26]. The enlarged spherical bodies, which are typical for spirochetes, are also observed in strain SEBR 4228^T [3]. The temperature range for growth is from 20°C to 40°C, with an optimum

temperature at 37°C [3]. The pH range for growth is between 5.5 and 8.0, with an optimum pH of 7.0 [3]. Strain SEBR 4228^T is obligately halophilic [3] and is able to grow on media that contains 1-10% of NaCl, with an optimum salinity at 5% NaCl [3]. Under optimum growth conditions, the doubling time is approximately 25 h in the presence of glucose and thiosulfate [3]. Strain SEBR 4228^T is able to utilize biotrypcase, fructose, fumarate, galactose, D-glucose, glycerol, mannitol, mannose, ribose, D-xylose and yeast extract, but not acetate, D-arabinose, butyrate, casamino acids, lactate, maltose, propionate, pyruvate, rhamnose, sorbose, sucrose and L-xylose [3]. Yeast extract is required for growth and cannot be replaced by a vitamin mixture [3]. Strain SEBR 4228^T ferments fumarate to acetate and succinate [3]. The major endproduct of glucose fermentation of strain SEBR 4228^T is lactate with traces of H₂ and ethanol [3]. *S. smaragdinae* is the only species of *Spirochaeta* known to reduce thiosulfate or elemental sulfur to sulfide [3]. Strain SEBR 4228^T produces lactate, acetate, CO₂ and H₂S as the end-products of glucose oxidation when thiosulfate is present in the growth medium [3]. The strain contains a rhodanese-like protein which expresses rhodanese activity [27]. This enzyme is able to reduce thiosulfate to sulfide [28]. Rhodanese is also widely found in other members of the domain *Bacteria* [29-31].



Figure 2. Scanning electron micrograph of *S. smaragdinae* SEBR 4228^T

Chemotaxonomy

No cellular fatty acids profiles are currently available for *S. smaragdinae* SEBR 4228^T. However, $C_{16:0}$ dimethyl acetate is the major cellular fatty acids of the type strains of the closely related *S.*

dissipatitropha, S. asiatica and *S. americana,* and $C_{16:0}$ fatty acid methyl ester is the major cellular fatty acids of *S. africana* [20,32].

MIGS ID	Property	Term	Evidence code	
		Domain Bacteria	TAS [14]	
		Phylum <i>Spirochaetae</i>	TAS [15,16]	
		Class Spirochaetes	TAS [16]	
	Current algoritization	Order Spirochaetales	TAS [17,18]	
	Current classification	Family Spirochaetaceae	TAS [18,19]	
		Genus Spirochaeta	TAS [18,20-22]	
		Species Spirochaeta smaragdinae	TAS [3,23]	
		Type strain SEBR 4228	TAS [3]	
	Gram stain	negative	TAS [3]	
	Cell shape	spiral	TAS [3]	
	Motility	yes	TAS [3]	
	Sporulation	none	NAS	
	Temperature range	between 20°C and over 40°C	TAS [3]	
	Optimum temperature	37°C	TAS [3]	
	Salinity	1-10% NaCl (optimum 5%)	TAS [3]	
MIGS-22	Oxygen requirement	obligately anaerobic	TAS [3]	
	Carbon source	polysaccharides	TAS [3]	
	Energy source	chemoorganotroph	TAS [3]	
MIGS-6	Habitat	oil-fields	TAS [3]	
MIGS-15	Biotic relationship	free-living	TAS [3]	
MIGS-14	Pathogenicity	none	NAS	
	Biosafety level	1	TAS [24]	
	Isolation	oil-injection water sample in the production system of an oil field	TAS [3]	
MIGS-4	Geographic location	Émerald oil fields in Congo	TAS [3]	
MIGS-5	Sample collection time	1997 or before	TAS [3]	
MIGS-4.1	Latitude	not reported		
MIGS-4.2	Longitude	not reported		
MIGS-4.3	Depth	not reported		
MIGS-4.4	Altitude	not reported		

Table 1. Classification and general features of *S. smaragdinae* SEBR 4228^T according to the MIGS recommendations [13].

Evidence codes - IDA: Inferred from Direct Assay (first time in publication); TAS: Traceable Author Statement (i.e., a direct report exists in the literature); NAS: Non-traceable Author Statement (i.e., not directly observed for the living, isolated sample, but based on a generally accepted property for the species, or anecdotal evidence). These evidence codes are from of the Gene Ontology project [25]. If the evidence code is IDA, then the property was directly observed by one of the authors or an expert mentioned in the acknowledgements.

Genome sequencing and annotation

Genome project history

This organism was selected for sequencing on the basis of its phylogenetic position [33], and is part of the *Genomic Encyclopedia of Bacteria and Archaea* project [34]. The genome project is deposited in the Genome OnLine Database [12] and the

complete genome sequence is deposited in Gen-Bank. Sequencing, finishing and annotation were performed by the DOE Joint Genome Institute (JGI). A summary of the project information is shown in Table 2.

MIGS ID	Property	Term
MIGS-31	Finishing quality	Finished
MIGS-28	Libraries used	Three genomic libraries: 454 pyrosequence standard and PE (12 kb insert size) libraries and one Illumina standard library
MIGS-29	Sequencing platforms	454 GS FLX Titanium, Illumina GAii
MIGS-31.2	Sequencing coverage	58.8 × pyrosequence, 6.9 × Illumina
MIGS-30	Assemblers	Newbler version 2.0.0-PostRelease-11/04/2008, phrap,
MIGS-32	Gene calling method	Prodigal 1.4, GenePRIMP
	INSDC ID	CP002116
	Genbank Date of Release	August 6, 2010
	GOLD ID	Gc013354
	NCBI project ID	32637
	Database: IMG-GEBA	2503128010
MIGS-13	Source material identifier	DSM 11293
	Project relevance	Tree of Life, GEBA

 Table 2. Genome sequencing project information

Growth conditions and DNA isolation

S. smaragdinae SEBR 4228^T, DSM 11293, was grown anaerobically in medium 819 (*Spirochaeta smaragdinae* medium) [35] at 35°C. DNA was isolated from 0.5-1 g of cell paste using MasterPure Gram Positive DNA Purification Kit (Epicentre MGP04100) following the standard protocol as recommended by the manufacturer, with modification st/LALMice for cell lysis as described in Wu *et al.* [34].

Genome sequencing and assembly

The genome was sequenced using a combination of Illumina and 454 sequencing platforms. All general aspects of library construction and sequencing can be found at the **IGI website**. Pyrosequencing reads were assembled using the Newbler assembler version 2.0.0-PostRelease-11/04/2008 (Roche). The initial Newbler assembly consisted of 51 contigs in one scaffold was converted into a phrap assembly by making fake reads from the consensus, collecting the read pairs in the 454 paired end library. Illumina GAii sequencing data was assembled with Velvet [36] and the consensus sequences were shredded into 1.5 kb overlapped fake reads and assembled together with the 454 data. Draft assemblies were based on 273 Mb 454 draft data and all of the 454 paired end data. Newbler parameters are -consed -a 50 -l 350 -g -m -ml 20.

The <u>Phred/Phrap/Consed</u> software package was used for sequence assembly and quality assessment in the following finishing process. After the shotgun stage, reads were assembled with parallel phrap (High Performance Software, LLC). Possible mis-assemblies were corrected with <u>gapResolution</u>, Dupfinisher, or sequencing cloned bridging PCR fragments with subcloning or transposon bombing (Epicentre Biotechnologies, Madison, WI) [37]. Gaps between contigs were closed by editing in Consed, by PCR and by Bubble PCR primer walks (J.-F.Chang, unpublished). A total of 147 additional reactions were necessary to close gaps and to raise the quality of the finished sequence. Illumina reads were also used to improve the final consensus quality using an in-house developed tool - the Polisher [38]. The error rate of the completed genome sequence is 0.2 in 100,000. Together, the combination of the Illumina and 454 sequencing platforms provided 65.7× coverage of the genome.

Genome annotation

Genes were identified using <u>Prodigal</u> [39] as part of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory genome annotation pipeline, followed by a round of manual curation using the JGI <u>GenePRIMP</u> pipeline [40]. The predicted CDSs were translated and used to search the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) nonredundant database, UniProt, TIGRFam, Pfam, PRIAM, KEGG, COG, and InterPro databases. Additional gene prediction analysis and functional annotation was performed within the Integrated Microbial Genomes - Expert Review (IMG-ER) platform [41].

Genome properties

The genome consists of a 4,653,970 bp long chromosome with a 49.0% GC content (Table 3 and Figure 3). Of the 4,363 genes predicted, 4,306 were protein-coding genes, and 57 RNAs; eighty seven pseudogenes were also identified. The majority of the protein-coding genes (74.2%) were assigned with a putative function while the remaining ones were annotated as hypothetical proteins. The distribution of genes into COGs functional categories is presented in Table 4.

Table 3. Genome Statistics				
Attribute	Value	% of Total		
Genome size (bp)	4,653,970	100.00%		
DNA coding region (bp)	4,315,215	92.97%		
DNA G+C content (bp)	2,278,823	48.97%		
Number of replicons	1			
Extrachromosomal elements	0			
Total genes	4,363	100.00%		
RNA genes	57	1.31%		
rRNA operons	2			
Protein-coding genes	4306	98.69%		
Pseudo genes	87	1.99%		
Genes with function prediction	3,235	74.15%		
Genes in paralog clusters	818	18.75%		
Genes assigned to COGs	3,318	76.05%		
Genes assigned Pfam domains	3,443	78.91%		
Genes with signal peptides	871	26.36%		
Genes with transmembrane helices	1,150	22.45%		
CRISPR repeats	1			



Figure 3. Graphical circular map of the genome. From outside to the center: Genes on forward strand (color by COG categories), Genes on reverse strand (color by COG categories), RNA genes (tRNAs green, rRNAs red, other RNAs black), GC content, GC skew.

able 4. Number of genes associated with the general COG functional categories					
Code	Value	%age	Description		
J	159	4.3	Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis		
А	0	0.0	RNA processing and modification		
К	328	8.8	Transcription		
L	129	3.5	Replication, recombination and repair		
В	1	0.0	Chromatin structure and dynamics		
D	25	0.7	Cell cycle control, cell division, chromosome partitioning		
Y	0	0.0	Nuclear structure		
V	58	1.6	Defense mechanisms		
Т	321	8.6	Signal transduction mechanisms		
М	183	4.9	Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis		
Ν	94	2.5	Cell motility		
Ζ	0	0.0	Cytoskeleton		
W	0	0.0	Extracellular structures		
U	58	1.6	Intracellular trafficking and secretion, and vesicular transport		
Ο	114	3.1	Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones		
С	223	6.0	Energy production and conversion		
G	553	14.9	Carbohydrate transport and metabolism		
Е	326	8.8	Amino acid transport and metabolism		
F	96	2.6	Nucleotide transport and metabolism		
Н	130	3.5	Coenzyme transport and metabolism		
I	61	1.6	Lipid transport and metabolism		
Р	165	4.4	Inorganic ion transport and metabolism		
Q	30	0.8	Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism		
R	450	12.1	General function prediction only		
S	212	5.7	Function unknown		
-	1,045	23.9	Not in COGs		

Acknowledgements

We would like to gratefully acknowledge the help of Maren Schröder (DSMZ) for growing cultures of *S. smarasgdinae*. This work was performed under the auspices of the US Department of Energy Office of Science, Biological and Environmental Research Program, and by the University of California, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory under contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

References

- 1. Garrity G. NamesforLife. BrowserTool takes expertise out of the database and puts it right in the browser. *Microbiol Today* 2010; **37**:9.
- 2. Euzéby JP. List of Bacterial Names with Standing in Nomenclature: a folder available on the Inter-

under Contract No. DE-AC52-07NA27344, and Los Alamos National Laboratory under contract No. DE-AC02-06NA25396, UT-Battelle, and Oak Ridge National Laboratory under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725, as well as German Research Foundation (DFG) INST 599/1-1 and Thailand Research Fund Royal Golden Jubilee Ph.D. Program No. PHD/0019/2548 for MY.

net. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1997; **47**:590-592. <u>PubMed</u> doi:10.1099/00207713-47-2-590

3. Magot M, Fardeau ML, Arnauld O, Lanau C, Ollivier B, Thomas P, Patel BK. *Spirochaeta smaragdinae* sp. nov., a new mesophilic strictly anaerobic spirochete from an oil field. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 1997; **155**:185-191. <u>PubMed doi:10.1111/j.1574-</u> 6968.1997.tb13876.x

- Chun J, Lee JH, Jung Y, Kim M, Kim S, Kim BK, Lim YW. EzTaxon: a web-based tool for the identification of prokaryotes based on 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequences. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2007; 57:2259-2261. PubMed doi:10.1099/ijs.0.64915-0
- Fracek SP, Jr., Stolz JF. Spirochaeta bajacaliforniensis sp. n. from a microbial mat community at Laguna Figueroa, Baja California Norte, Mexico. [RRS]. Arch Microbiol 1985; 142:317-325. PubMed doi:10.1007/BF00491897
- DeSantis TZ, Hugenholtz P, Larsen N, Rojas M, Brodie EL, Keller K, Huber T, Dalevi D, Hu P, Andersen GL. Greengenes, a chimera-checked 16S rRNA gene database and workbench compatible with ARB. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2006; 72:5069-5072. PubMed doi:10.1128/AEM.03006-05
- 7. Castresana J. Selection of conserved blocks from multiple alignments for their use in phylogenetic analysis. *Mol Biol Evol* 2000; **17**:540-552. <u>PubMed</u>
- 8. Lee C, Grasso C, Sharlow MF. Multiple sequence alignment using partial order graphs. *Bioinformatics* 2002; **18**:452-464. <u>PubMed doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/18.3.452</u>
- Stamatakis A, Hoover P, Rougemont J. A rapid bootstrap algorithm for the RAxML Web servers. Syst Biol 2008; 57:758-771. PubMed doi:10.1080/10635150802429642
- Yarza P, Richter M, Peplies J, Euzeby J, Amann R, Schleifer KH, Ludwig W, Glöckner FO, Rosselló-Móra R. The All-Species Living Tree project: A 16S rRNA-based phylogenetic tree of all sequenced type strains. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 2008; **31**:241-250. PubMed doi:10.1016/j.syapm.2008.07.001
- 11. Pattengale ND, Alipour M, Bininda-Emonds ORP, Moret BME, Stamatakis A. How many bootstrap replicates are necessary? *Lect Notes Comput Sci* 2009; **5541**:184-200. <u>doi:10.1007/978-3-642-</u> 02008-7_13
- 12. Liolios K, Chen IM, Mavromatis K, Tavernarakis N, Hugenholtz P, Markowitz VM, Kyrpides NC. The Genomes On Line Database (GOLD) in 2009: status of genomic and metagenomic projects and their associated metadata. *Nucleic*

Acids Res 2010; **38**:D346-D354. <u>PubMed</u> doi:10.1093/nar/gkp848

- Field D, Garrity G, Gray T, Morrison N, Selengut J, Sterk P, Tatusova T, Thomson N, Allen MJ, Angiuoli SV, et al. The minimum information about a genome sequence (MIGS) specification. Nat Biotechnol 2008; 26:541-547. PubMed doi:10.1038/nbt1360
- 14. Woese CR, Kandler O, Wheelis ML. Towards a natural system of organisms: proposal for the domains *Archaea, Bacteria,* and *Eucarya. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1990; **87**:4576-4579. PubMed doi:10.1073/pnas.87.12.4576
- 15. Garrity GM, Holt JG. The Road Map to the Manual. In: Garrity GM, Boone DR, Castenholz RW (eds), Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, Second Edition, Volume 1, Springer, New York, 2001, p. 119-169.
- 16. Cavalier-Smith T. The neomuran origin of archaebacteria, the negibacterial root of the universal tree and bacterial megaclassification. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2002; **52**:7-76. <u>PubMed</u>
- Buchanan RE. Studies in the nomenclature and classification of bacteria. II. The primary subdivisions of the *Schizomycetes*. J Bacteriol 1917; 2:155-164. <u>PubMed</u>
- Skerman VBD, McGowan V, Sneath PHA. Approved Lists of Bacterial Names. Int J Syst Bacteriol 1980; 30:225-420. doi:10.1099/00207713-30-1-225
- 19. Swellengrebel NH. Sur la cytologie comparée des spirochètes et des spirilles. *Ann Inst Pasteur (Par-is)* 1907; **21**:562-586.
- 20. Pikuta EV, Hoover RB, Bej AK, Marsic D, Whitman WB, Krader P. *Spirochaeta dissipatitropha* sp. nov., an alkaliphilic, obligately anaerobic bacterium, and emended description of the genus *Spirochaeta* Ehrenberg 1835. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2009; **59**:1798-1804. <u>PubMed</u>
- 21. Ehrenberg CG. Dritter Beitrag zur Erkenntniss grosser Organisation in der Richtung des kleinsten Raumes Abhandlungen der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften (Berlin) 1835:143-336.
- 22. Canale-Parola E. Genus I. *Spirochaeta* Ehrenberg 1835, 313. *In*: Buchanan RE, Gibbons NE (eds), Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology, Eighth Edition, The Williams and Wilkins Co., Baltimore, 1974, p. 168-171 [The Williams and Wilkins Co., Baltimore.].
- 23. Validation List No. 67. Validation of publication of new names and new combinations previously

effectively published outside the IJSB. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1998; **48**:1083-1084. <u>doi:10.1099/00207713-48-4-1083</u>

- 24. Classification of bacteria and archaea in risk groups. TRBA 466.
- 25. Ashburner M, Ball CA, Blake JA, Botstein D, Butler H, Cherry JM, Davis AP, Dolinski K, Dwight SS, Eppig JT, *et al*. Gene Ontology: tool for the unification of biology. *Nat Genet* 2000; **25**:25-29. <u>PubMed doi:10.1038/75556</u>
- Snyder LAS, Loman NJ, Fütterer K, Pallen MJ. Bacterial flagellar diversity and evolution: seek simplicity and distrust it? *Trends Microbiol* 2009; 17:1-5. <u>PubMed doi:10.1016/j.tim.2008.10.002</u>
- Ravot G, Casalot L, Ollivier B, Loison G, Magot M. rdlA, a new gene encoding a rhodanese-like protein in *Halanaerobium congolense* and other thiosulfate-reducing anaerobes. *Res Microbiol* 2005; **156**:1031-1038. PubMed doi:10.1016/j.resmic.2005.05.009
- 28. Singleton DR, Smith DW. Improved Assay for Rhodanese in *Thiobacillus* spp. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1988; **54**:2866-2867. <u>PubMed</u>
- 29. Crolet JL, Magot M. Non-SRB sulfidogenic bacteria in oilfield production facilities. *Mater Perform* 1996; **35**:60-64.
- Magot M, Ravot G, Campaignolle X, Ollivier B, Patel BK, Fardeau ML, Thomas P, Crolet JL, Garcia JL. *Dethiosulfovibrio peptidovorans* gen. nov., sp. nov., a new anaerobic, slightly halophilic, thiosulfate-reducing bacterium from corroding offshore oil wells. *Int J Syst Bacteriol* 1997; **47**:818-824. <u>PubMed doi:10.1099/00207713-47-3-818</u>
- 31. Ravot G, Magot M. 2000. Detecting sulfatereducing bacteria utilizing the APS-reductase gene patent GB 2354072 A.
- Hoover RB, Pikuta EV, Bej AK, Marsic D, Whitman WB, Tang J, Krader P. Spirochaeta americana sp. nov., a new haloalkaliphilic, obligately anaerobic spirochaete isolated from soda Mono Lake in California. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2003;
 53:815-821. PubMed doi:10.1099/ijs.0.02535-0

- Klenk H-P, Göker M. En route to a genome-based classification of *Archaea* and *Bacteria*? *Syst Appl Microbiol* 2010; **33**:175-182.
 PubMed doi:10.1016/j.syapm.2010.03.003
- Wu D, Hugenholtz P, Mavromatis K, Pukall R, Dalin E, Ivanova NN, Kunin V, Goodwin L, Wu M, Tindall BJ, et al. A phylogeny-driven genomic encyclopaedia of *Bacteria* and *Archaea*. *Nature* 2009; 462:1056-1060. PubMed doi:10.1038/nature08656
- 35. List of growth media used at DSMZ: <u>http://www.dsmz.de/microorganisms/med</u> <u>ia_list.php</u>
- 36. Zerbino DR, Birney E. Velvet: algorithms for de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. *Genome Res* 2008; **18**:821-829. <u>PubMed doi:10.1101/gr.074492.107</u>
- Sims D, Brettin T, Detter JC, Han C, Lapidus A, Copeland A, Glavina Del Rio T, Nolan M, Chen F, Lucas S, et al. Complete genome sequence of *Kytococcus sedentarius* type strain (541^T). *Stand Genomic Sci* 2009; 1:12-20. doi:10.4056/sigs.761
- 38. Lapidus A, LaButti K, Foster B, Lowry S, Trong S, Goltsman E. POLISHER: An effective tool for using ultra short reads in microbial genome assembly and finishing. AGBT, Marco Island, FL, 2008.
- 39. Hyatt D, Chen GL, Locascio PF, Land ML, Larimer FW, Hauser LJ. Prodigal: prokaryotic gene recognition and translation initiation site identification. *BMC Bioinformatics* 2010; **11**:119. <u>PubMed doi:10.1186/1471-2105-11-119</u>
- Pati A, Ivanova NN, Mikhailova N, Ovchinnikova G, Hooper SD, Lykidis A, Kyrpides NC. Gene-PRIMP: a gene prediction improvement pipeline for prokaryotic genomes. *Nat Methods* 2010; 7:455-457. <u>PubMed doi:10.1038/nmeth.1457</u>
- Markowitz VM, Ivanova NN, Chen IMA, Chu K, Kyrpides NC. IMG ER: a system for microbial genome annotation expert review and curation. *Bioinformatics* 2009; 25:2271-2278. <u>PubMed doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp393</u>